

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,708	12/11/2003	Mark R. Visokay	TI-35227	2373
23494	7590 10/20/2005		· EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			PHAM, THANHHA S	
P O BOX 65 DALLAS, 7	55474, M/S 3999 ΓΧ 75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2813	-
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/734,708	VISOKAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
_	Thanhha Pham	2813				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor, - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <i>28 July 2005</i> .					
• =	☐ This action is non-final.					
· ——						
closed in accordance with the practice u						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-21,28-32,5	4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-21,28-32,58-62 and 67 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,22-27,33-57 and 63-66</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attacl	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		Application No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International 		en received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies r	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/11/2003. 	- ·- <i>,</i>	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Election dated 07/28/2005.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of claims 1-13, 22-27, 33-57 and 63-66 in the reply filed on 07/28/2005 read on species A-1/B-1 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 14-21, 28-32 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species.

Oath/Declaration

3. Oath/Declaration filed on 12/11/2003 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-13, 22-27, 33-57 and 63-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- With respect to claim 1,

Art Unit: 2813

lines 3-4, 6 and 8, it is not clear that "a gate electrode" in each of claimed steps are the same or different.

lines 5 and 7, "the deposited high-k dielectric" lacking antecedent basis should be changed to "the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer" to clarify scope of claim.

In addition, it is not clear the claimed step of lines 5-6 (a first anneal) refers to which step of embodiment of figure 2 – step 62 or step 82? it is not clear the claimed step of lines 7-8 (a second anneal) refers to which step of embodiment of figure 2 – step 64 or step 84? <see contraction between claims 2 and 33 for details>

▶ With respect to claims 26 and 33

"the high-k dielectric layer" lacking antecedent basis should be changed to "the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2813

5. Claims 1-2, 22-26, 65 and 66, as being best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Colombo et al [US 6,809,370].

► With respect to claims 1-2, 22-26 and 65, Colombo et al (figs 1-4, col 1-7) discloses the claimed method for treating a deposited high-k dielectric layer during fabrication of a semiconductor device comprising:

nitriding a deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (110, figs 1 & 2B, col 6 lines 7-40) prior to forming a gate electrode (120), wherein nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer comprises performing a plasma nitridation process;

performing a first anneal of the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (110) in a non-oxidizing ambient prior to forming said gate electrode (120, figs 1 & 2B, col 7 lines 7-15); and

performing a second anneal of the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (110) in an oxidizing ambient prior to forming said gate electrode (120, fig 1 & 2B, col 7 lines 15-25) wherein the second annealing is performed at a temperature of about 700 degree C or less (e.g. 400) and atmospheric pressure of about 1 torr or less (e.g. 1 torr),

wherein the second anneal is performed after nitriding the high-k dielectric layer and after performing the first anneal.

▶ With respect to claim 66, Colombo et al (figs 1-4, col 1-7) discloses the claimed method of fabricating a transistor gate structure, the method comprising:

depositing a high-k gate dielectric layer (110, figs 1 & 2B) above a semiconductor body (104);

nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (110, figs 1 & 2B, col 6 lines 7-40);

performing a first anneal of the deposited high-k dielectric gate dielectric layer (110) in a non-oxidizing ambient (120, figs 1 & 2B, col 7 lines 7-15); and

performing a second anneal of the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (110) in an oxidizing ambient (120, fig 1 & 2B, col 7 lines 15-25);

forming a gate electrode material layer (120, fig 1 & 2C) above the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer after nitriding and after performing the first and second anneals; and

patterning the gate electrode material layer and the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer to form a patterned gate structure (figs 2D and 1).

- 6. Claims 1, 63-65 and 66, as being best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jung et al [US 6,875,678].
- ▶ With respect to claim 1, 63 and 65, Jung et al (figs 1-11B, cols 1-10) discloses the claimed method for treating a deposited high-k dielectric layer during fabrication of a semiconductor device comprising:

nitriding a deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (12, col 5 lines 8-67, col 7 lines 58-67) prior to forming a gate electrode (14), wherein nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer comprises performing a nitridation anneal in nitrogen containing ambient wherein the nitridation anneal is performed at a temperature of about 1000 degrees C or less and wherein the nitrogen containing ambient comprises NH₃, <u>OR</u> nitriding the

Application/Control Number: 10/734,708

Art Unit: 2813

deposited high-k gate dielectric layer comprises performing a plasma nitridation process:

performing a first anneal of the deposited high-k dielectric (12) in a non-oxidizing ambient prior to forming said gate electrode (col. 8 lines 1-7); and

performing a second anneal of the deposited high-k dielectric (12) in an oxidizing ambient prior to forming said gate electrode (col 7 lines 64-67 and col 8 lines 1).

▶ With respect to claim 66, Jung et al (figs 1-11B, cols 1-10) discloses the claimed method of fabricating a transistor gate structure, the method comprising:

depositing a high-k gate dielectric layer (12, fig 1) above a semiconductor body (10);

nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (12, col 5 lines 8-67, col 7 lines 58-67);

performing a first anneal of the deposited high-k dielectric gate dielectric layer (12) in a non-oxidizing ambient (col 8 lines 1-7); and

performing a second anneal of the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (12) in an oxidizing ambient (col 7 lines 64-67 and col 8 lines 1);

forming a gate electrode material layer (14, col 8 lines 8-14) above the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer after nitriding and after performing the first and second anneals; and

patterning the gate electrode material layer and the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer to form a patterned gate structure (fig 1).

Art Unit: 2813

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 33-51, as being best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung et al [US 6,875,678] in view of Vosokay et al [US 2003/0129817].
- ▶ With respect to claims 1, 33, 39-42, 44, 47-51, Jung et al (figs 1-11B, cols 1-10) discloses a method for treating a deposited high-k dielectric layer during fabrication of a semiconductor device comprising:

nitriding a deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (12, col 5 lines 8-67, col 7 lines 58-67) prior to forming a gate electrode (14), wherein nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer comprises performing a nitridation anneal in nitrogen containing ambient wherein the nitridation anneal is performed at a temperature of about 1000 degrees C or less and wherein the nitrogen containing ambient comprises NH₃, <u>OR</u> nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer comprises performing a plasma nitridation process; and

performing a third anneal of the deposited high-k dielectric (12) in a non-oxidizing ambient after nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer wherein the non-oxidizing ambient comprises N2, Ar, He or Ne (col. 8 lines 1-7).

Jung et al does not teach performing first and second anneals prior to nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer and prior to forming a gate electrode wherein said first anneal being performed in a non-oxidizing ambient comprised N2, Ar, or He and said second anneal being performed in an oxidizing ambient, said first anneal being performed prior to said second anneal.

However, Visokay et al teaching first anneal the high-k gate dielectric layer in the non-oxidizing ambient prior nitridizing the high-k gate dielectric layer (text [0010]-[0011]: first annealing the high-k gate dielectric layer of MO or MsiO) and second anneal high-k gate dielectric layer in the non-oxidizing ambient prior nitridizing the high-k gate dielectric layer (text [0010], [0012]-[0013]: second annealing the high-k gate dielectric layer of MO or MsiO) to densify and to heal defects the high-k gate dielectric layer (104).

Therefore, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious for those skilled in the art to modify process of Jung et al by performing the first and second anneals as being claimed to improve characteristic of the high-k gate dielectric layer as being mentioned above.

▶ With respect to claims 34-38, 43, 45-46, the claimed ranges of parameters for first, second and third anneals are considered to involve routine optimization while has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. As noted in In re Aller 105 USPQ233, 255 (CCPA 1955)., the selection of reaction parameters such as temperature and concentration would have been obvious.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,708

Art Unit: 2813

"Normally, it is to be expected that a change in temperature, or in concentration, or in both, would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstances, however, changes such as these may be impart patentability to a process if the particular ranges claimed produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely degree from the results of the prior art...such ranges are termed "critical ranges and the applicant has the burden of proving such criticality... More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation."

Page 9

See also In re Waite 77 USPQ 586 (CCPA 1948); In re Scherl 70 USPQ 204 (CCPA 1946); In re Irmscher 66 USPQ 314 (CCPA 1945); In re Norman 66 USPQ 308 (CCPA 1945); In re Swenson 56 USPQ 372 (CCPA 1942); In re Sola 25 USPQ 433 (CCPA 1935); In re Dreyfus 24 USPQ 52 (CCPA 1934).

8. Claims 1, 33-57, as being best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colombo et al [US 6,809,370] in view of Visokay et al [US 2003/0129817].

Colombo et al al (figs 1-4, col 1-7) discloses a method for treating a deposited high-k dielectric layer during fabrication of a semiconductor device comprising:

nitriding a deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (110, figs 1 & 2B, col 6 lines 7-40) prior to forming a gate electrode (120), wherein nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer comprises performing a plasma nitridation process;

performing a third anneal of the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (110) in a non-oxidizing ambient comprised N2, Ar, He or Ne after nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric (figs 1 & 2B, col 7 lines 7-15); and

performing a fourth anneal of the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (110) in an oxidizing ambient after performing the third anneal.

Colombo et al does not teach performing first and second anneals prior to nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer and prior to forming a gate electrode wherein said first anneal being performed in a non-oxidizing ambient comprised N2, Ar, or He and said second anneal being performed in an oxidizing ambient, said first anneal being performed prior to said second anneal.

However, Visokay et al teaching first anneal the high-k gate dielectric layer in the non-oxidizing ambient prior nitridizing the high-k gate dielectric layer (text [0010]-[0011]: first annealing the high-k gate dielectric layer of MO or MsiO) and second anneal high-k gate dielectric layer in the non-oxidizing ambient prior nitridizing the high-k gate dielectric layer (text [0010], [0012]-[0013]: second annealing the high-k gate dielectric layer of MO or MsiO) to densify and to heal defects the high-k gate dielectric layer (104).

Therefore, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious for those skilled in the art to modify process of Colombo et al by performing the first and second anneals as being claimed to improve characteristic of the high-k gate dielectric layer as being mentioned above.

With respect to the claimed ranges parameters of the first, second, third and fourth anneals, the claimed range parameters are considered to involve routine optimization while has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Aller 105 USPQ233, 255 (CCPA 1955); In re Waite 77 USPQ 586 (CCPA 1948); In re Scherl 70 USPQ 204 (CCPA 1946); In re Irmscher 66 USPQ 314 (CCPA 1945); In re Norman 66 USPQ 308 (CCPA 1945); In re Swenson 56 USPQ 372 (CCPA 1942); In re Sola 25 USPQ 433 (CCPA 1935); In re Dreyfus 24 USPQ 52 (CCPA 1934).

9. Claims 1-13, as being best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung et al [US 6,875,678] in view of Harada [US 6,642,131].

Jung et al (figs 1-11's, col 1-10) discloses a method for treating a depositing high-k gate dielectric layer during fabricating a semiconductor device comprising:

nitriding a deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (12, col 5 lines 8-67, col 7 lines 58-67) prior to forming a gate electrode (14), wherein nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer comprises performing a nitridation anneal in nitrogen containing ambient wherein the nitridation anneal is performed at a temperature of about 1000 degrees C or less and wherein the nitrogen containing ambient comprises NH₃, <u>OR</u> nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer comprises performing a plasma nitridation process; and

performing a second anneal of the deposited high-k dielectric (12) in an oxidizing ambient prior to forming said gate electrode (col 7 lines 64-67 and col 8 lines 1).

Jung et al does not teach performing a first anneal of the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer in a non-oxidizing ambient prior to nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer.

However, Harada (figs 7B-7C, col 14) discloses performing the first anneal of the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer (22A) in the non-oxidizing ambient prior to nitriding the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer to improve thermal stability of the high-k gate dielectric layer.

Therefore, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious for those skilled in the art to modify process of Jung et al by performing the first anneal as being claimed as taught by Harada to improve the thermal stability of the high-k gate dielectric layer.

With respect to the claimed ranges parameters of the first and second anneals, the claimed range parameters are considered to involve routine optimization while has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Aller 105*USPQ233, 255 (CCPA 1955); In re Waite 77 USPQ 586 (CCPA 1948); In re Scherl 70

USPQ 204 (CCPA 1946); In re Irmscher 66 USPQ 314 (CCPA 1945); In re Norman 66

USPQ 308 (CCPA 1945); In re Swenson 56 USPQ 372 (CCPA 1942); In re Sola 25

USPQ 433 (CCPA 1935); In re Dreyfus 24 USPQ 52 (CCPA 1934).

10. Claims 26-27 and 56-57, as being best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colombo et al [US 6,809,370] or Colombo et al [US 6,809,370] in view of Visokay et al [US 2003/0129817] as applied to claims 22 and 52 above, and further in view of Visokay et al [US 2003/0045080].

Colombo et al or Colombo et al in view of Visokay et al ('817) substantially discloses the claimed method but is silent about using the oxidizing ambient of oxidizing liquid solution comprises $H_2O + H_2O_2$ to expose the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer.

However, Visokay et al ('080) discloses exposing the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer to the oxidizing liquid solution comprises $H_2O + H_2O_2$ to avoid degradation of the overall dielectric constant of the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer.

Therefore, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious for those skilled in the art to modify process of Colombo et al or Colombo et al in view of Visokay et al ('817) by exposing the high-k gate dielectric layer to the oxidizing liquid solution as being claimed, per taught by Visokay et al ('080), to avoid degradation of the overall dielectric constant of the deposited high-k gate dielectric layer.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanhha Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1696. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday 9:00AM - 9:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2813

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanhha Pham Patent Examiner

Patent Examining Group 2800